EXHIBIT

E

Part 1

	0287TERC
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	IN RE: TERRORIST ATTACKS ON
4	SEPTEMBER 11, 2001 03 MDL 1570
5	x
6	February 8, 2010 10:30 a.m.
7	Before:
8.	HON. FRANK MAAS
9	Magistrate Judge
10	APPEARANCES
11	KREINDLER & KREINDLER
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs BY: JAMES KREINDLER ANDREW MALONEY
13	ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, P.C.
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff O'Neill BY: JERRY S. GOLDMAN
15	COZEN O'CONNOR
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Insurance BY: SEAN CARNER
17	MOTLEY RICE
18	Attorneys for Burnett Plaintiffs BY: ROBERT HAEFELE (via telephone)
19	ROTTENBERG LIPMAN RICH
20	Attorneys for Defendants Sana Bell, Inc and Sanabel Al Kheer
21	BY: CHRIS MANNING EURYDICE KELLEY
22	BERNABEI & WACHTEL PLLC
23	Attorneys for Defendant Al Haramain Islamic Foundation (USA)
24	BY: ALAN R. KABAT
25	

U 0287TERC

ļ	
1	APPEARANCE (Continued)
2 _.	LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN BARENTZEN
3	Attorneys for Defendant Dr. Yagub Mirza
4	BY: STEVEN BARENTZEN
5	
6	
7	
8 .	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
	·
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	·

. 8

be a substitute.

THE COURT: It could be, but I'm not going to require it.

MR. BARENTZEN: One last point I'll make just for the record here, so it's all on the record: Whatever delay may have occurred quite frankly is plaintiffs' own fault.

Had they in the beginning of this come to Dr. Mirza and asked me do you have documents, where are they, I could have told you five years ago we don't have them.

Whatever dispute happened between the plaintiffs and Sanabel, I still can't really wrap my head around it, but we got caught in the crossfire here. I found out about it and jumped into this case and voluntarily said we don't have documents. That somehow Dr. Mirza and I are somehow responsible for the delay the plaintiffs are suffering here is just not the case at all.

THE COURT: OK. Well, I have made my ruling.

Should we move on to Al Haramain?

MR. HAEFELE: I imagine that's me, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. HAEFELE: May it please the court, first of all, thank you for accepting my appearance by telephone. I promise I had multiple flights to come up there to be with you but they were all canceled.

THE COURT: A likely story, but that's fine.

MR. HAEFELE: You are probably better off anyway.

Really, you don't need me coughing in your courtroom.

THE COURT: I can probably hear you better on the phone than if you were in the courtroom. Go on.

MR. HAEFELE: Well, first, I think your Honor noted one of the first things that I did want to call to your attention, that we're in full blown merits discovery with Al Haramain, so any notion that there's any limitation as to any discovery, other than any limits that the federal rules put on us, they don't apply here.

The other point that I wanted to make, your Honor, is the need to avoid discover delay, and I just believe we have emphasized that to your Honor on multiple occasions, the need to avoid delay and obtaining discovery. And in your Honor's most recent decision regarding discovery, your Honor recognizes the need to avoid discovery delay, and those principles apply no less. That rationale your Honor provided there regarding avoiding prejudice to the other side doesn't apply here where we are in merits discovery with Al Haramain.

THE COURT: With respect to Al Haramain U.S.

MR. HAEFELE: Well, yes, your Honor, that's correct.

I will get into the other aspect of that in a moment, but, yes, with regard to how Al Haramain, what I would call the U.S. branch office of Al Haramain.

This court has express policy against allowing

defendants to shield documents from discovery by moving documents abroad, and that same principle applies concerning what I will call shape shifting corporate entities to avoid discovery and accountability.

Borrowing from the language from one of the cases I cited in the brief, your Honor, Cooper Industries, 102 F.R.D.

918, if a defendant could so easily evade discovery, every U.S. company would do the same thing. Here in this case it would be keeping documents out of the U.S. at a headquarters or resisting collecting discovery until after dissolving or making other branch offices disappear.

That principle of treating commonly controlled entities as singular entities for discovery was also supported in the Alcan International case that we cited, which was 176 F.R.D. 75. Like in Alcan, here the Al Haramain entities are unquestionably all members of a unified worldwide business under common control, using the same corporate logo and with regular contact, particularly given the overlap and leadership of the two entities.

As in Alcan, the court -- in Alcan the court said it was inconceivable -- and I would say that's true here -- that the U.S. entity through its actors would not have access to the headquarters' information, particularly through the very same overlapping acts.

Your Honor, in both of our letters we set out a number

б

. 8

of factors to be considered to treat Al Haramain and the Al Haramain headquarters as alter egos of each other. And what I would like to do, your Honor, if you have the letter, the January 5 letter that we sent your Honor, I would refer you to page 2 of that letter. Do you have that?

THE COURT: I'm sure I do. Bear with me a second.
Yes.

MR. HAEFELE: On page 2, I think it's in the second paragraph, we went through and we referenced some case law that sets out a number of factors to be recognized in considering whether to disregard juridical separateness of companies or entities. And going through them, what I would like to do is walk the court through some of the documents that we submitted and show you evidence supporting treating the U.S. office as the alter ego of the Riyad headquarters, if that's ripe, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. HAEFELE: Well, if we go one through 15, through the factors, the first factor that's referenced there just doesn't apply here because they're talking about common or overlapping stock ownership, and we are talking about entities that don't have stock ownership here. So, that one wouldn't apply.

The second fact does apply, which is common or overlapping directors or officers. And we have three principal

officers or directors of the U.S. branch office. And for those there, Mr. Al Akil, who is in Saudi Arabia, who is the president of the U.S. branch office; the U.S. branch director, GM of the Riyad headquarters. And those items are identified in Exhibits 14, 15 and 16.

Actually 14, 15 and 16 are important for all three of the directors. Mr. Al Khati, who is also in Saudi Arabia is vice president of the U.S. branch, as shown on Exhibits 15 and 16. He is the U.S. branch director, as shown in Exhibit 14. He is the deputy director of Al Haramain Riyad, as shown in Exhibit 18. Mr. Al Butay is also in Saudi Arabia. He is the treasure of the U.S. branch, as shown in Exhibits 15 and 16. He is the U.S. branch director, as shown in Exhibit 14. He worked from the Riyad office, as shown in Exhibits 19 and 20. He is the lawful representative in the U.S. of Al Haramain headquarters, as shown in Exhibit 10.

If we skip down to the next factor, your Honor, the use of the same corporate offices. And what we see is that in Exhibit 22 we see that the Al Haramain website, which is used jointly by both the Al Haramains, identify the U.S. office as the U.S. branch office of Al Haramain. The website also identified Riyad as the head office and the U.S. branch office as the Al Haramain Educational Center. That's in Exhibit 23.

Both the headquarters and U.S. branch regularly use the same website, the same letterhead and the same logo without

any kind of distinction. And that's in a variety of exhibits from 24 through 35 and then 50 through 58.

I don't actually have the exhibits referenced here, but I think certainly the anticapitalization of the subsidiaries, which is the next factor, there are a variety of documents that show that Al Haramain branch office in the U.S. pretty much lived off of the money, the funding that was coming in from Al Haramain headquarters, and that's through a number of correspondence back and forth between the two where Al Haramain U.S.A. is asking for money to do any kind of repairs to the buildings, and it indicates that the salaries paid to the Al Haramain people in the U.S. came from the funding that came from the headquarters.

The next factor, which is an overlapping factor I think, is the financing of the subsidiary by the parent. Exhibit 10 shows that Al Akil appointed Al Butay the power of attorney on headquarters letterhead to pay any property, equipment, materials, people, for the express purpose of support and maintenance of the goals and objectives of Al Haramain activities in the U.S. Now, that's the way that Al Haramain in the U.S. branch office got open because of the power of attorney given from the general manager in the Riyad headquarters to the U.S. representative -- sorry, the U.S. representative of the headquarters in Riyad.

THE COURT: That was used to acquire the building,